

Nos. 22-2069, -2070, -2071, -2072

---

IN THE  
**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

---

MASIMO CORPORATION,

*Appellant,*

v.

APPLE INC.,

*Appellee,*

---

APPEAL FROM THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  
CASE NOS. IPR2021-00193, IPR2021-00195, IPR2021-00208, IPR2021-00209

---

**UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SUSPEND REQUIREMENT TO FILE  
ADDENDUM APPELLANT MASIMO CORPORATION**

---

Joseph R. Re, *Principal Counsel*  
Stephen C. Jensen  
Jarom D. Kesler  
Stephen W. Larson  
**KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON &  
BEAR, LLP**  
2040 Main Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Irvine, CA 92614  
(949) 760-0404

Jeremiah Helm  
**KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP**  
1717 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 640-6400  
  
*Attorneys for Appellant  
Masimo Corporation*

December 29, 2022

**CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST**

Counsel for Appellant Masimo Corporation certifies the following:

1. The full name of every party represented by me is:

Masimo Corporation.

2. The name of the real party-in-interest represented by me is:

Masimo Corporation.

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own more than 10 percent or more of the stock of the party represented by me are:

BlackRock Inc.

4. The name of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party in the lower tribunal or are expected to appear for the party in this court and who are not listed on the docket for the current case:

Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP: Jacob L. Peterson.

5. The case titles and numbers of any case known to be pending in this court or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal:

- *Masimo Corporation v. Apple Inc.*, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Case No. 22-1631 (consolidated with Case Nos. 22-1632, 22-1633, 22-1634, 22-1635, 22-1636, 22-1637, 22-1638)

- *Masimo Corporation v. Apple Inc.*, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Case No. 22-1972 (consolidated with Case Nos. 22-1973, 22-1975, 22-1976)
- *Masimo Corporation and Cercacor Laboratories, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*, U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 8:20-cv-00048-JVS

6. Information required under Fed. R. App. P. 26.1(b) (organizational victims in criminal cases) and 26.1(c) (bankruptcy case debtors and trustees):

None.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

December 29, 2022

/s/ Stephen W. Larson

Joseph R. Re, Principal Counsel

Stephen C. Jensen

Jarom D. Kesler

Stephen W. Larson

Jeremiah Helm

Attorneys for Appellant,  
Masimo Corporation

Appellant Masimo Corporation respectfully requests the Court suspend the requirements of Federal Circuit Rule 28(a)(11)-(12). Rule 28(a)(11) requires appellant's brief include "an addendum containing the judgments orders, agency actions, or other decisions in question and any opinions, memoranda, or findings and conclusions supporting them." Rule 28(a)(12) requires "all patents in suit reproduced in their entirety as an addendum to the principal brief of a petitioner or appellant."

Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 2 allows a court of appeals to suspend a provision for good cause "[o]n its own or [on] a party's motion." Good cause to suspend the requirements of Rule 28(a)(11)-(12) exists in this appeal. This appeal consolidates four different appeals involving four different patents. Order Consolidating Appeals, D.I. 2 (August 5, 2022). The addendum required by Rule 28(a)(11)-(12), including multiple patents and agency decisions, is nearly 1,200 pages and cannot be bound in a single volume. The documents will be included in the Joint Appendix in their entirety. Counsel for Appellee Apple Inc. has informed Masimo's counsel that Apple will not oppose the motion.

For the reasons set forth herein, Masimo respectfully requests the Court suspend the requirements of Federal Circuit Rule 28(a)(11)-(12).

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

December 29, 2022

/s/ Stephen W. Larson

Joseph R. Re, Principal Counsel

Stephen C. Jensen

Jarom D. Kesler

Stephen W. Larson

Jeremiah Helm

Attorneys for Appellant,

Masimo Corporation

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

1. This brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2). This brief contains 195 words.
2. This brief complies with the typeface requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(a)(6). This brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in 14 point font Times New Roman.

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: December 29, 2022

By: /s/ Stephen W. Larson

Joseph R. Re

Stephen C. Jensen

Jarom D. Kesler

Stephen W. Larson

Jeremiah Helm

Attorneys for Appellant,  
Masimo Corporation